



SHAREABLE PDF

Biomarker signatures for progressive idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

Britt Clynick^{1,2,3,25}, Tamera J. Corte^{1,4,5,25}, Helen E. Jo^{1,4,5}, Iain Stewart⁶, Ian N. Glaspole^{7,8}, Christopher Grainge^{9,10}, Toby M. Maher¹¹, Vidya Navaratnam^{6,12}, Richard Hubbard⁶, Peter M.A. Hopkins^{13,14}, Paul N. Reynolds^{15,16}, Sally Chapman¹⁶, Christopher Zappala¹³, Gregory J. Keir¹³, Wendy A. Cooper^{4,5,17}, Annabelle M. Mahar⁵, Samantha Ellis^{7,8}, Nicole S. Goh^{18,19}, Emma De Jong^{2,3}, Lilian Cha^{2,3}, Dino B.A. Tan^{10,2,3}, Lucy Leigh^{9,20}, Christopher Oldmeadow^{9,20}, E. Haydn Walters^{1,8,21,22,23}, R. Gisli Jenkins⁶ and Yuben Moodley^{1,2,3,24}

¹Centre of Research Excellence in Pulmonary Fibrosis, Camperdown, Australia. ²Institute for Respiratory Health Inc., Nedlands, Australia. ³University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia. ⁴The University of Sydney Central Clinical School, Camperdown, Australia. ⁵Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, Australia. ⁶NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Respiratory Theme, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK. ⁷Monash University, Clayton, Australia. ⁸Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia. ⁹University of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia. ¹⁰John Hunter Hospital, New Lambton Heights, Australia. ¹¹University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ¹²Nottingham University Hospitals, Nottingham, UK. ¹³University of Queensland, St Lucia, Australia. ¹⁴Prince Charles Hospital, Chermide, Australia. ¹⁵University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia. ¹⁶Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, Australia. ¹⁷Western Sydney University, Sydney, Australia. ¹⁸Austin Hospital, Heidelberg, Australia. ¹⁹Institute of Breathing and Sleep, Heidelberg, Australia. ²⁰Hunter Medical Research Institute, Newcastle, Australia. ²¹University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia. ²²University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia. ²³Royal Hobart Hospital, Hobart, Australia. ²⁴Fiona Stanley Hospital, Murdoch, Australia. ²⁵These two authors are joint first authors.

Corresponding author: Yuben Moodley (yuben.moodley@uwa.edu.au)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

Pathobiologically relevant circulatory biomarkers were found to be associated with IPF progression and mortality, and a statistical model incorporating these markers into a progression index score showed improved prognostication across all outcomes <https://bit.ly/37L0oMl>

Cite this article as: Clynick B, Corte TJ, Jo HE, *et al.* Biomarker signatures for progressive idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2101181 [DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01181-2021].

This single-page version can be shared freely online.

Copyright ©The authors 2022.
For reproduction rights and
permissions contact
permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 25 April 2021
Accepted: 3 Aug 2021

Abstract

Background Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a progressive lung disease in which circulatory biomarkers have the potential for guiding management in clinical practice. We assessed the prognostic role of serum biomarkers in three independent IPF cohorts: Australian Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Registry (AIPFR), Trent Lung Fibrosis (TLF) and Prospective Observation of Fibrosis in the Lung Clinical Endpoints (PROFILE).

Methods In the AIPFR cohort, candidate proteins were assessed by ELISA as well as in an unbiased proteomic approach. LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) regression was used to restrict the selection of markers that best accounted for the progressor phenotype at 1 year in the AIPFR cohort, and subsequently prospectively selected for replication in the validation TLF cohort and assessed retrospectively in the PROFILE cohort. Four significantly replicating biomarkers were aggregated into a progression index model based on tertiles of circulating concentrations.

Results 189 participants were included in the AIPFR cohort, 205 participants from the TLF cohort and 122 participants from the PROFILE cohort. Differential biomarker expression was observed by ELISA and replicated for osteopontin, matrix metalloproteinase-7, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and periostin for those with a progressor phenotype at 1 year. Proteomic data did not replicate. The progression index in the AIPFR, TLF and PROFILE cohorts predicted risk of progression, mortality and progression-free survival. A statistical model incorporating the progression index demonstrated the capacity to distinguish disease progression at 12 months, which was increased beyond the clinical GAP (gender, age and physiology) score model alone in all cohorts, and significantly so within the incidence-based TLF and PROFILE cohorts.

Conclusion A panel of circulatory biomarkers can provide potentially valuable clinical assistance in the prognosis of IPF patients.